





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Pat nt and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

FAX COVER SHEET

TO:	Robin Einman				
FROM::	Examiner Chang, Art Unit 1625				
RE:	SN	09/760,590	Attoney's Docket: HMR 2041US1 NP1		
MESSAGE:office action 11/06/02 per your request					

If transmission is incomplete please contact Examiner Chang at 703-308-4702.

TOTAL PAGE (including cover page)



United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,590	01/16/2001	Kurt M. Kesseler	HMR 2041 US NPI	4289
5487	7590 11/06/2002			
ROSS J. OE	EHLER	EXAMINER		
ROUTE 202-	HARMACEUTICALS INC -206, MAIL CODE: D-303.	CHANG, CELIA C		
BRIDGEWA	TER, PA 08807		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/760,590 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Celia Chang

Kesseler

Art Unit 1625



	The WAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sneet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM				
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
-	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply e	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Any rep	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 30, 20	002				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This acti	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 10-19	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>10-19</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
4	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No				
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)	$\label{lem:constraint} \textbf{Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic}$	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) 🗀						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachme		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	of Entered of Months (1907)					
3) [Info	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					



Application/Control Number: 09/760,590

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Amendment and response filed by applicants in paper No. 9, dated July 30, 2002 have been entered an considered carefully. Claims 1-9 have been canceled. Claims 10-19 are pending.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 USC 112 second paragraph and under 35 USC 103(a) over Kattige et al. '727 and Sedlacek are dropped in view of the amendments.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 USC 103(a) over Kim '934 in view of Cheronis and Evans is now applicable to newly added claims 10-19 maintained for reason of record.

The gist of applicants argument is that the description of Kim '934 at col. 9, lines 53, is not convincing to applicants that this is a solvate. This is not persuasive. Please note that Kim et al. Gave explicit described that "......the residue was stirred in MeOH (20 ml) at reflux......and ethyl ether (50ml) was added.....precipitated solid was......washed with ethyl ether three times and dried to afford a solid, 6.7 g which contained the title compound methanol". Please note that this explicit description provided evidence that a method was made under reflux and precipitated, washed to obtain such "compound methanol" which is consistent with the nomenclature of solvates/hydrates i.e. magnesium sulfate 7H₂O or magnesium sulfate hydrate. As it has been provided by the state of the art reference Evans, that a clathrate which is crystalline organic material with small solvent being uncaged, is the mechanical embracing of organic material and solvents. Therefore, the explicit naming of the compound methanol product by Kim '934 is evidence that solvate/clathrate instead of compound per se was indicated by Kim.



Application/Control Number: 09/760,590

Art Unit: 1625

Please note that Kim '934 is a US patent. Explicit description and facts have been pointed out to applicants as to the nature of the product. Applicants' allegation that product of Kim '934 is not convincing to applicants being a solvate must be supported by preponderous of evidence since such allegation of inoperability of a US patent must be factual. Trans-World vs Al Nyman 219 USPQ 1059.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 703-308-4702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman, can be reached on (703) 308-4698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7922.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

WP/Chang Nov. 4, 2002 Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625